

WP1.2 Call for Tender Assessment Criteria

For each evaluation criterion, a score on a scale from 0 to 5 is given.

- 0 Fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.
- 1 Very poor. The criterion is addressed in a cursory and unsatisfactory manner.
- 2 Poor. There are serious inherent weaknesses in relation to the criterion in question.
- 3 Fair. Broadly addresses the criterion, with significant weaknesses that need correcting.
- 4 Good. Addresses the criterion well, although certain improvements are possible.
- 5 Excellent. Successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question.

Evaluation Criteria	Attributed Scores					
Scientific and technological relevance	0	1	2	3	4	5
Ability to propose a tailored methodology to prepare and conduct a market assessment study resulting in the setting up of a global database of potential customers and their iPSC requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ability to propose a tailored methodology to prepare and conduct a market assessment study of existing iPSC lines generated by other cell banks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Likelihood to complete the work within the overall timing and to deliver on schedule	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Level of progress monitoring (deliverables, milestones related to the work)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	MARK					
Resources details & justification	0	1	2	3	4	5
Human and material resources allocated to carry out the work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coherence between budget and human/material resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Capacity to invest the resources required for the proposed work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	MARK					
Experience & expertise	0	1	2	3	4	5
Scientific experience and background of key staff working on contract	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proven track record ensuring both, quality of content and format (i.e. previous publications)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	MARK					
Overall Score (threshold : 12/15)	FINAL MARK					